

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS\ P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,441	08/14/2003		Rene François Monet	BR6.P38 2481		
21792	7590	02/03/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
STRATTON		EW	HWU,	HWU, JUNE		
213 S 12TH AVE YAKIMA, WA 98902				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1661		

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,441	MONET, RENE FRANCOIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	June Hwu	1661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
/ 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 November 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/642,441

Art Unit: 1661

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed November 8, 2004 has been acknowledged.

It is noted that there is a spelling error on the title of the employed color chart on page 3, line 4.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office action.

Drawings

The drawings have been approved.

35 USC § 102

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 1 remains rejected under U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, for reasons stated in the prior Office actions (dated August 6, 2004, pages 3-7) and as are detailed below.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the written description in the disclosure is reasonably complete in accordance to 37 C.F.R. 1.163. In addition, the completeness of the description does not include every quantifiable feature of the plant. This argument has been fully considered, however, is not persuasive because 37 C.F.R. 1.163(a) states, "The specification must contain

Application/Control Number: 10/642,441 Page 3

Art Unit: 1661

as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant <u>and</u> the characteristics thereof..." thus the entire plant, not just some of the characteristics must be described. Moreover, 35 U.S.C. 162 also states that the description must be "as complete as is reasonably possible." See also MPEP 1605.

Applicant argues that a detailed botanical description in the disclosure may appeal to the botanist, patent examiner or patent applicant but does not have any influence to prevent a plant patent from an infringer. This argument has been fully considered, however, is not persuasive because the patentee must first compare his or her plant from the infringer's plant. If the descriptions in the disclosure were lacking or vague of certain characteristics, then it would be difficult to distinguish the patented plant from the infringer's plant. Patent prosecution and infringement are separate issues. The standard for prosecution rests on the rules of 37 CFR 1.163. 37 CFR 1.163 further states "... characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents..."

Applicant argues that a description of variable characteristics does not aid in identifying the plant but further presents vague and ambiguous information. This argument has been fully considered, however, is not persuasive because variable characteristics and ranges would strengthen the disclosure rather than limiting it to an exact size.

Applicant's amendment dated November 8, 2004 failed to address the following grounds of rejection:

A. Applicant has not set forth in the specification how the instant cultivar is distinguished from its parents. 37 CFR 1.163 (a) states, "...the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents..." Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,441 Page 4

Art Unit: 1661

B. Applicant has not set forth in the specification a botanical description of the leaf stipules' size, shape and color designation. If available, correction is necessary.

C. Applicant has not set forth in the specification the color designation of the branch

anthocyanin.

D. Applicant has not set forth in the specification a botanical description of the leaf glands'

size and color designation. If available, correction is necessary.

E. Applicant has not set forth in the specification the size and color designation with

reference to the employed color chart of the pit cavity.

F. Applicant has not set forth in the specification a botanical description of the stone such

as length, width, base and apex shapes and surface texture.

G. Applicant has not set forth in the specification a botanical description of the kernel such

as size, shape and color designation.

Applicant's amendment dated November 8, 2004 failed to address the following new

grounds of rejection:

H. Page 3, line 17, the recitation "166DA" is unclear regarding the color designation of the

trunk. Clarification and correction are necessary.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1661

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to June Hwu whose telephone number is (571) 272-0977. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JH

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG